

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/482,859	01/14/00	HAGIWARA		Н	511.33114VV4
	20457 IM62/0601			EXAMINER	
020457 IM62/0601 'ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS				CHU,J	
SUITE 1800 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET ARLINGTON VA 22209				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1752	4
				DATE MAILED:	06/01/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/482,859

Applicant(s)

HAGIWARA ET AL

Examiner

John Chu

Group Art Unit 1752



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 14, 2000	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of t received.	
X received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	
received in this national stage application from the In	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Note	s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	E FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/482,859

Art Unit: 1752

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to semiconductor device, classified in class 430, subclass 18.
 - II. Claims 10-14, drawn to photosensitive resin composition, classified in class 430, subclass 270.1.
 - III.. Claims 15-19, drawn to polyimide precursor, classified in class 528, subclass 353.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as composition for forming a protective layer on metal and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/482,859

Art Unit: 1752

- 4. Inventions Group III and Group I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a polymer for use in heat resistant coatings on vehicles and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 5. Inventions Group II and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the polyimide precursor of the photosensitive resin composition does not necessarily need a diaminosiloxane compound as coreactant and would be a completely different polymer requiring a separate consideration. The subcombination has separate utility such as coatings to provide heat resistance to metal.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/482,859

Art Unit: 1752

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for

examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Chu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2298. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John S. Chu

Frimary Examiner, Group 1700

J.Chu

May 19, 2000